

## **Annex D – “STRESS AND PSYCHOLOGICAL SUPPORT IN MODERN MILITARY OPERATIONS” – INTERMEDIATE REPORT**

**To provide military leaders with information and practical guidelines on stress and psychological support to enhance effectiveness in modern military operations.**

### **AIM AND TARGET AUDIENCE**

Military leaders at all levels have a key role in sustaining the mental readiness of service members under their command. They also play an important part in maintaining morale on the home front.

The aim of this document is to provide military leaders with information and practical guidelines on stress and psychological support in modern military operations.

### **TASK GROUP**

This Task Group consists of over 30 multi-disciplinary professionals representing 19 different NATO and PfP countries.

Group members include military and civilian defence professionals (mostly psychologists, psychiatrists and sociologists) who work closely together in different subgroups and exchange their views twice yearly in meetings hosted by one of the member Nations.

This international and multidisciplinary collaboration has resulted in valuable exchanges of information, experiences and recommendations. The group is also continuously seeking opportunities to share ideas and exchange information with other groups working in similar domains of expertise.

### **HISTORY – CHANGE OF FOCUS**

This Task Group is expanding the work of earlier NATO groups, which date back to the 1980s. Whereas previously these groups mainly concentrated their efforts on mental health, there is currently a clear shift of focus towards psychological support in the context of operational readiness.

### **TOPICS OF STUDY**

Some of the major topics to be covered by this Task Group in the context of modern military operations include:

- Assessing the risks for psychological stress;
- Psychological preparation of military personnel;
- Readiness assessment of personnel before deployment;
- Psychological support of military personnel during and after deployment;
- Psychological support of families before, during and after deployment; and
- Organisation of psychological support in terms of structures, procedures, role of professionals, ...

## **DELIVERABLES**

### **In progress:**

- This Task Group organized a forum for psychologists working with Special Operations Forces (SOF) in the War Against Terror (WAT); the objective of this forum is for these professionals to exchange ideas, experiences and to evaluate the need for future cooperation.

### **Planned:**

- An inventory of national concepts on stress and psychological support;
- The publication of a handbook (end of 2006) for military leaders with information and practical guidelines on stress and psychological support to enhance effectiveness in modern military operations that includes a decision support tool; and
- The organization of a symposium on Stress and Psychological Support in Modern Military Operations in spring 2006 followed by a lecture series.

## **COMMITMENT**

There can be little doubt that deployments have implications for military personnel, their families and military formations. Past experience also suggests that military leaders can make a significant difference in mediating the relationship between psychological support professionals and military personnel and their families.

Our review of supporting literature shows many gaps in the available research. In many instances there is a lack of hard evidence to support some of the choices that have been made for psychological support in modern military operations.

Despite the lack of empirically derived evidence, military leaders still expect reliable and informed advice from specialists. The team members of this Task Group have therefore decided to review the existing research in the area and to commit themselves to make recommendations based upon what they consider to be recommended practice.

## **LONG-TERM VIEW**

The group's long term objective is to establish international research initiatives in order to gather evidence on which to build a standard NATO agreement on stress and psychological support in modern military operations.

## **RECOMMENDED PRACTICES**

The following section contains the first draft of a number of recommendations pertaining to psychological support BEFORE, DURING and AFTER deployments.

These recommendations (which are not all research-based) are considered by group members to represent current best practice, although, it should be noted, that there may be a need for some subsequent “fine-tuning”.

Whilst most member nations have already committed resources and established procedures along the lines recommended in this report, they remain national initiatives and there are no over arching NATO-derived guidelines.

Therefore the general consensus within the Task Group is that there is need for a set of NATO standardized guidelines relating to Stress and Psychological Support in Modern Military Operations.

## **BASE LINE**

- 1) Participating in operational deployments is a common and recurrent practice for military personnel. This fact should be reflected in the organization, procedures and tools of psychological support.
- 2) Deployments, whether they are intermittent or on a regular basis, can have a long lasting or even permanent effect on the psychological well being of personnel and their families.
- 3) Deployment affects the home front as well as those personnel who are being deployed.
- 4) The effects of traumatic events and other factors associated with deployments can emerge or remain long after deployment.
- 5) Psychological support rests on a combination of individual accountability and the responsibility of the military organization to provide support.
- 6) Psychological support is not only about individual mental health. Psychological support takes into account, and provides tools for, both individual and unit mission fitness.

## **1. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR PSYCHOLOGICAL SUPPORT BEFORE A DEPLOYMENT FOR MODERN MILITARY OPERATIONS**

### **1.1 Every Service Member has an Individual Readiness Accountability**

Modern military operations require that military personnel are fit for duty at all times. Hence it is recommended that all military personnel are accountable for taking all necessary steps to maintain their psychological fitness as an essential component of mission fitness.

Obviously this is an attitude that cannot be fully externally controlled. It should therefore be part of what is sometimes referred to as the psychological contract between the individual service member and the armed forces. This contract consists of all the unwritten mutual expectations between the armed forces and their military personnel.

Current military selection procedures of military personnel at the start of their career, do not guarantee psychological protection against the multiple, adverse and often unpredictable events that occur as part of operational duties including combat operations and that can potentially affect anybody.

## **1.2 Armed Forces Should Consider Assessment of Individual Mission Fitness**

Armed forces should provide their personnel with the opportunity to report problems in the area of mission fitness. It is recommended that instruments and tools to assess individual mission fitness be implemented in addition to individual accountability.

Instruments, tools and procedures will differ according to the ways in which different nations deploy their forces on operations (e.g. the use by nations of assessments to select volunteers for deployments).

If any assessment is performed, it should aim at distinguishing between temporary and chronic problems, thus avoiding stigmatizing of personnel.

*Remaining issues and considerations:*

- *When personnel are deployed regardless of their psychological fitness, an assessment could nevertheless provide useful information such as identifying those who may need some kind of extra attention during deployment. This depends, of course, on the type of mission and on the provisions made for coaching and treatment in the field.*
- *Possibilities of including information from the home front should be considered. Maybe only after a soldier has admitted being not fully fit.*
- *Is there a need for therapy in the field or should there be only counseling?*

## **1.3 Armed Forces Should Consider Assessment of Unit Mission Fitness**

Research has proven that mission fitness is not just an individual quality. It is recommended that units should be assessed for mission fitness. Differences between individual and unit mission fitness involve other factors including training, leadership, morale, etc. This distinction is particularly relevant because assessment of unit mission fitness requires different instruments and techniques to that of individual mission fitness-assessment.

## **1.4 Armed Forces Should Organize Psychological Support**

Anyone who deals with the psychological aspects of mission fitness can be defined as a psychological support professional.

Thus psychologists, psychiatric nurses, medical doctors, psychiatrists, chaplains, social workers, sociologists, etc., may all be described as psychological support professionals. They provide informed advice to military leaders who are just not only responsible for the success of the mission but also the well being of the personnel under their command. It will be important to define the necessary competencies of psychological support professionals.

Psychological support should not be limited to the subject of individual mental health. Military psychologists involved in mental readiness should have a combination of clinical and occupational skills to be able to advise military leaders regarding morale and other problems on the unit level. These skills should be made explicit, and headed under the title of military psychology.

We recommend defining rules and making agreements that will ensure good cooperation between military leaders and psychological support professionals. These should cover responsibilities in the domains of psycho-education, training, advisory roles towards the commander and home front support.

*Remaining issues and considerations:*

- *How to establish effective contact between psychological support professionals and military leaders?*
- *How to integrate psychological support professionals in the command structure? Advantages of external versus embedded support?*

### **1.5 Armed Forces Should Cover Issues of Psychological Support in Education and Training**

Consensus can and should be reached on necessary topics of psycho-education in military education at all levels and in pre-deployment training on psychological support. What can an individual or unit expect on a deployment, how do individuals cope, how do they support each other or get outside help?

Objectives are to strengthen coping tools at an individual or unit level, to strengthen resilience and to facilitate the work of psychological support professionals whenever there would be a need for psychological intervention.

### **1.6 Armed Forces Should Organize Home Front Support Well in Advance of Deployment**

Deployments of military personnel have implications for the family as well. A deployment can have as much or even more impact on the home front than on the deployed personnel. Coping capabilities of military families are important in support of the deployment. Therefore home front support means providing information and advice, education, means of communication and keeping in touch, and offering psychological or social support.

Home front support should be organized well in advance of deployment. It is clearly linked to operational readiness, as the following quotation illustrates: “You can train your men as much as you want, but what do you think will happen if there is a war and these boys run around with the thought that nobody cares for their family? No way will they fight as effectively, of course that I can assure you.”(Norman H. SCHWARZKOPF “It doesn’t take a hero” 1992)

*Remaining issues and considerations:*

- *Volunteers have been proven to be very useful in home front support.*

## **2. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR PSYCHOLOGICAL SUPPORT DURING A DEPLOYMENT FOR MODERN MILITARY OPERATIONS**

### **2.1 Armed Forces Should Consider Monitoring at Personnel Level**

Continuous monitoring at personnel level should be undertaken to detect any adverse reactions individual servicemen and women might experience as a consequence of the deployment, which could lead to a decrement in performance.

Monitoring should be carried out continuously, both formally and informally by colleagues, superiors and professional support professionals.

Tools should be available at all times, whenever the situation requires monitoring of consequences of duration of the deployment, intensity of conflict, impact of casualties or major incidents, ...

Augmentees continue to be an issue of pre-occupation.

*Remaining issues and considerations:*

- *Monitoring could, depending on organizational culture, be a double-edged sword that could have adverse results on morale, or trustworthiness of psychological support.*

## **2.2 Armed Forces Should Consider Monitoring at Unit Level**

Monitoring at unit level should be undertaken to detect any adverse reactions that units might experience as a consequence of the deployment, which could lead to a decrement in performance.

Appropriate tools with which to carry out such monitoring should be available to military leaders at all times.

## **2.3 Incident Handling is Provided Initially at Peer Level and Progresses Through the Next Levels of Support as Required**

Immediate post incident support should be conducted according to the BICEPS-principles of Brevity, Immediacy, Centrality, Expectancy, Proximity and Simplicity. (SOKOL, 1986)

There are three levels of support available in incident handling. Firstly, peer support is informal and on the spot. Secondly, there is a requirement for some individuals in every unit to have received specific training in incident handling. These individuals can act as individual and unit level stress risk assessors, advise their military leaders and can conduct basic interventions. They know when to advice to bring in more specialized support from psychological support professionals. These third level specialists can be embedded within the formation or may come from outside. Psychological support is their core business.

*Remaining issues and considerations:*

- *How to conduct proper assessment of who needs assistance in case of a critical incident.*
- *What are advantages and disadvantages of embedded versus specialist support coming from outside.*
- *What are the necessary competencies at the three levels of support?*

## **2.4 Competencies for Psychological Support Must be Made Explicit**

Psychological support towards individuals and units is aimed at maintaining, improving or restoring individual and unit mission fitness based on clinical and occupational skills.

Competencies for giving advice, conducting education, delivering treatment, carrying out assessments and interventions, and referring on, must be identified and made explicit.

*Remaining issues and considerations:*

- *Psychological support professionals may experience conflicting roles between supporting individual servicemen and women and supporting the unit.*

## **2.5 Armed Forces Must Operate Home Front Support Throughout the Deployment**

There is a need for home front support throughout the deployment. Ongoing support to family and partners refers to a range of support provided to families and is not specific to one deployment. This takes into account the fact that deployment is a common and recurring occupational event for military personnel.

Communication between the area of operations and the home front is very important. Provision of information to the home front must be tailored to a non-military audience.

*Remaining issues and considerations:*

- *The role of the military leader?*
- *How to incorporate an assertive/proactive outreach into home front support?*
- *Dual service couples remain an issue.*
- *Pre-return family integration for both service personnel and the home front.*

## **3. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR PSYCHOLOGICAL SUPPORT AFTER A DEPLOYMENT FOR MODERN MILITARY OPERATIONS**

### **3.1 Armed Forces Should Provide Ways to Assess Individual Well Being Post Deployment**

Whilst the individual service person is accountable for their own fitness, the organization should provide a mechanism for reporting problems/concerns which offers a certain degree of confidentiality and does not stigmatize against the individual.

Once an individual has initiated the reporting process, the organization must respond in an adequate and timely fashion.

If the individual is not satisfied with the response then they should have recourse to an alternative course of action.

Cautionary comments regarding mission fitness assessment also apply to post-deployment well being assessment. The latter refers to a means of checking the physical and mental status of service personnel and ensures that personnel are made aware of support available.

### **3.2 Armed Forces Should Link the Requirement for Post-Deployment Psychological Group Support to the Expected Impact of the Deployment**

Post-deployment psychological group support is a way of facilitating a group discussion and providing psychological education to group members, although it should be noted that post-deployment psychological group support is not always necessary. However, such support can be used if the impact of the deployment is considered to have negatively influenced the effectiveness of the group.

*Remaining issues and considerations:*

- *Should post-deployment psychological group support always be considered if a unit commander believes a mission may have resulted in psychological injury?*

### **3.3 Armed Forces Should Provide a Structured Homecoming and Reintegration Program for Service Personnel and Their Families/Partners with Further Support and Information Tailored to the Nature of the Operational Demands**

Home front support is a continuing concern which begins with the notification that a unit or individual is going to deploy, and continues well beyond redeployment.

It is always a requirement. Its approach should be systemic. It must take into account the interaction between the mission and events on the home front.

Reintegration is the process of readjusting to family life, to work environment and to social life following return from the deployment.

### **3.4 Armed Forces Should Provide Middle and Long Term Monitoring of Physical and Psychological Well Being for All Service Personnel Who Have Deployed**

The effects of traumatic events and other factors associated with deployments can emerge or remain long after deployment.

*Remaining issues and considerations:*

- *Should Armed forces have a system of pre-discharge assessment for military personnel leaving the service?*
- *Are Armed forces responsible for recurrent habitual monitoring of service personnel beyond the end of their military career?*

### **3.5 Armed Forces Should Consider Providing Additional Long-Term Support Services for Current Serving Personnel and Their Families**

Deployment is a common and recurrent occupational event. The effects of a mission or several missions can endure and even become permanent. Leaders may want to consider providing long term support in terms of telephone support services, family/partners support services, offering medical services, ...

## **WAY AHEAD**

It is the intention of the Task Group that this report receives as wide a circulation as possible within military leaders and psychological support professionals, in the hope of soliciting their views, comments and/or recommendations.

This feedback will allow the Task Group:

- To fine-tune the above stated recommendations;
- To work out the remaining issues and considerations; and
- To prepare the symposium on Stress and Psychological Support in Modern Military Operations in Brussels (Belgium) 24-26 April 2006.

This symposium will offer an excellent opportunity for military leaders and psychological support professionals to interact and share ideas and experiences. It will also provide the Task Group with the necessary input to finalize the handbook.

## **PERSONS TO CONTACT**

If you wish to comment, find out more about the Task Group’s work or to assist in our objectives, please contact your national representative or the Chairman. (See Appendix 1)

## **Appendix 1 – NATIONAL REPRESENTATIVES**

**(Update: 22<sup>nd</sup> January 2005)**

### **AUSTRIA**

**LtCol. Mag. Christian LANGER**

Psychology Service of the Austrian Armed Forces

Am Fasangarten 2

A 1130 Vienna (Wien)

Tel.: + 43 1 5200 55400

Mobile: +43 676 7036752

email: [hpa.hpd@bmlv.gv.at](mailto:hpa.hpd@bmlv.gv.at) or [magchristianlanger@hotmail.com](mailto:magchristianlanger@hotmail.com)

### **BELGIUM**

**LtCol. Psy Yves CUVELIER**

DG IPR

Kwartier Koningin Elisabeth

Eversestraat

1140 Brussels-Evere

Tel: +32 (0)2 701 6765

Fax: +32 (0)2 701 4862

email: [yves.cuvelier@mil.be](mailto:yves.cuvelier@mil.be)

### **CANADA**

**Mr. Jason DUNN**

DQOL 9-2 Research

Directorate of Quality of Life

NDHQ – National Defence Headquarters

101 Colonel By Drive

Ottawa, Ontario KIA 0K2

Tel: +1 (613) 995-0706

Fax: +1 (613) 995-9175

email: [Dunn.JR@forces.gc.ca](mailto:Dunn.JR@forces.gc.ca)

### **CROATIA**

**Major Mladen TRLEK**

Ministry of Defence of the Republic of Croatia

Zvonimirova 12

10000 Zagreb

Tel: +385 1 3786489

Fax: +385 1 3786763

email: [mladen.trlek@morh.hr](mailto:mladen.trlek@morh.hr)



**CZECH REPUBLIC**

**LtCol. Jiri KLOSE**

Clinical Psychology Dept.

Central Military Hospital

Prague

Tel: +42 (0) 973 203470

Fax: +42 (0) 973 203465

email: [jiri.klose@uvn.cz](mailto:jiri.klose@uvn.cz)

**DENMARK**

**Ms. Birgitte HOMMELGAARD**

Psychologist, MA

Institute for Military Psychology

Royal Danish Defence College

Ryvangs Alle 1

2100 Copenhagen Ø

Tel: +45 39 15 19 44

Fax: +45 30 15 19 01

email: [imp-21@fak.dk](mailto:imp-21@fak.dk)

**FRANCE**

**Médecin en Chef Patrick CLERVOY**

Professeur agrégé du Val-de-Grâce

Service de psychiatrie

Hôpital d’instruction des armées Sainte-Anne

BP 600

83 998 Toulon Naval

Tel: +33 (0)4 94 09 91 85

Fax: +33 (0)4 94 09 98 35

email: [patrick.clervoy@wanadoo.fr](mailto:patrick.clervoy@wanadoo.fr)

**GERMANY**

**Mr. Bernd WILLKOMM**

FlMedInstLw/Div VI

P.O. Box 1264 KFL

D-82242 Fuerstenfeldbruck

Tel: +49 (0)8141 5360 2212

Fax: + 49 (0)8141 5360 2909

email: [BerndWillkomm@BUNDESWEHR.org](mailto:BerndWillkomm@BUNDESWEHR.org)

**LITHUANIA**

**Lt. Danute LAPENAITE**

Military Clinical Psychologist

KAUNAS Military Medical Center

Tel: +370 37 320702

Fax: +370 37 204602

email: [danutel@yahoo.com](mailto:danutel@yahoo.com)

**LUXEMBURG**

**Major Psy Alain WAGNER**

Psychologue de l'Armée  
Caserne Grand-Duc Jean  
BP 166  
L-9202 Diekirch  
Tel: + 352 26809 302 or 352 021 184441  
Fax: + 352 809474  
email: [alain.wagner@cnfpc.lu](mailto:alain.wagner@cnfpc.lu) + [svmed@cm.etat.lu](mailto:svmed@cm.etat.lu)

**ROMANIA**

**Col. Dr. Gheorghe PERTEA**

Head of Laboratory for Military Psychology  
Military Intelligence General Directorate  
General Vasile Milea Street, Number 3-5  
District 5  
7000 Bucharest  
Tel: +40214102590  
Fax: +40214113502  
email: [pertea@easynet.ro](mailto:pertea@easynet.ro) or [geopertea@yahoo.com](mailto:geopertea@yahoo.com)

**SLOVAKIA**

**Major Dr. Pavol SMYKALA**

Armed Forces Head Psychologist  
J1 General Staff  
Slovak Ministry of Defence  
Kutuzovova 8  
832 28 Bratislava  
Tel: + 421- 960 313127 or + 421-960 312359  
Mobile : + 42-1907 735 777  
email: [SmykalaP@mod.gov.sk](mailto:SmykalaP@mod.gov.sk) or [smyky2002@zoznam.sk](mailto:smyky2002@zoznam.sk)

**SPAIN**

**Captain Psy José María PUENTE**

Inspección General de Sanidad / Unidad de Psicología (Inspection)  
General of Medical Service / Unit of Psychology)  
C/Reina Mercedes, 21  
28020 Madrid  
Tel: +34 91 456 1969  
Fax: +34 91 456 1976  
email: [jmpuenteo@oc.mde.es](mailto:jmpuenteo@oc.mde.es) and [jpuenteont@correo.cop.es](mailto:jpuenteont@correo.cop.es)

**SWEDEN**

**Dr. Kristina POLLACK**

Director Military Psychology  
HQ GRO/UTB  
S-107 85 Stockholm  
Tel: +46 (8) 788 75 45  
email: [k.pollack@swipnet.se](mailto:k.pollack@swipnet.se)

**NETHERLANDS**

**LtKol. Coen van den BERG MSc**

Royal Netherlands Military Academy  
Faculty of Military Management Sciences  
Social and Behavioral Sciences and Philosophy  
P.O Box 90.002  
4800 PA Breda  
Tel: + 31 (0)76-5273279  
Fax: + 31 (0)76-5273255  
email: [ce.vd.berg@mindef.nl](mailto:ce.vd.berg@mindef.nl)

**LtKol. Drs Peter H.M. van KUIJCK**

Military Psychologist – Certified Mental Health Psychologist  
Personnel and Organization Service  
Behavioural Sciences Division  
Frederikstraat 467-469  
2514 LN Den Haag  
Tel: +31 (0)70 316 5458 or 5450  
Fax: +31 (0)70 316 5452  
email: [cdpogw@army.dnet.mindef.nl](mailto:cdpogw@army.dnet.mindef.nl)

**UNITED KINGDOM**

**Mr. Paul CAWKILL**

Human Sciences  
Room G003, Building A3  
Dstl  
Ively Road  
Farnborough, Hants GU14 0LX  
Tel: +44 (0)1252-455779  
Fax: +44 (0)1252-455062  
email: [pecawkill@dstl.gov.uk](mailto:pecawkill@dstl.gov.uk)

**UNITED STATES**

**Maj. Paul BLIESE**

US Army Medical Research Unit  
Europe/Walter Reed Army Institute of Research  
Nachrichten Kaserne  
Karlsruher Strasse 144  
69126 Heidelberg  
Germany  
Tel: + 49-6221-17-2626  
email: [paul.bliese@us.army.mil](mailto:paul.bliese@us.army.mil)

